

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/249,040	05/06/94	SCHELLINGER	[H]	CE010248
			TROST.W	CAMINER
Audiomotivation and one or		26M2/0309	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MOTOROLA. CORPORATE			I ANI UNII	PAPEH NUMBER
INTELLECTU	AL PROPERTY I	DEPT, (JJK)		
1303 E. AL	GONDLIN ROAD		2608	
SCHAUMBURG	, IL 60196		DATE MAILED:	
	from the examiner in cha			03/09/95
MMISSIONER OF PA	ITENTS AND TRADEMA	RKS		
		•		
(_		,	
This application has	been examined	Responsive to communication filed on		This action is made fine
ortened statutory per	tod for response to this a	ction is set to expire $\underline{3}$ month(s)	days from	the date of this letter.
ure to respond within	the period for response w	rill cause the application to become abando	ned. 35 U.S.C. 133	
II THE FOLLOWIN	G ATTACHMENT(S) AR	E PART OF THIS ACTION:		
Notice of Refe	rences Cited by Examine	PTO.892	No. of Destroy - to Date	nt Drawing Review, PTO-94
	lited by Applicant, PTO-1	449. 4. No	ice of Uransman's Pate ice of Informal Patent A	nt Drawing Review, PTO-94
	How to Effect Drawing C	Changes, PTO-1474' 6. 🔲 _		
tii SUMMARY OF	ACTION		1	
	\ \ _	13		•
Claims	•			are pending in the application
Of the above	ve, claims		are w	ithdrawn from consideration
Claims				nave been cancelled.
Claims				
r ⊠	1-1	3		
Claims				are objected to.
Claims			re subject to restriction	or election requirement.
This application t	es been filed with inform	al drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are		
_			acceptable for examine	mon purposes.
Formal drawings	are required in response	to this Office action.		
		been received onexplanation or Notice of Draftsman's Pater	. Under 37 C.F	R. 1.84 these drawings -948).
The proposed ad examiner;	ditional or substitute shee	et(s) of drawings, filed on or (see explanation).	has (have) been	approved by the
The proposed dra	wing correction, filed	, has been appro	ved; Cdlsapproved (s	ee explanation).
Acknowledgemen	it is made of the claim for arent application, serial n	priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified	copy has been rec	eived not been received
Since this applica accordance with t	tion apppears to be in cor he practice under Ex part	ndition for allowance except for formal matter	ers, prosecution as to th	e merits is closed in
Other		•		

Art Unit: 2608

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

2. Claims 1 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Breeden et al (hereinafter referred to as Breeden).

Regarding claim 1, Breeden discloses call routing equipment in the form of a routing controller (104), a base station having control means (FCU 108), a wireline network (PSTN 106) connecting the base station to the routing equipment, base station control means initiating call to the routing equipment and sending an identification number (Figure 4, Col. 4;60-65), and using the base station identification and routing number (in the form of a PCU id) to route calls (Col. 5;45 - Col. 6;20). Breeden fails to explicitly disclose the wireline network having caller

Art Unit: 2608

identification, but it is well known in the art for the PSTN to utilize automatic number identification (ANI) technology to provide such caller identification. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include caller identification in the system to increase the efficiency of the system in routing calls to users.

Regarding claim 12, Breeden discloses a method for routing calls to a terminal comprising receiving at the routing equipment a routing number via call identification (PCU telephone number 120), sending an identification number (PCU id 118) from the terminal to the call routing equipment, and routing subsequent calls for the identification number to the routing number (Col. 5;45 - Col. 6;20). Breeden fails to explicitly disclose the wireline network having caller identification, but it is well known in the art for the PSTN to utilize automatic number identification (ANI) technology to provide such caller identification. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include caller identification in the system to increase the efficiency of the system in routing calls to users.

3. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Breeden as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of The GSM System for Mobile Communications (hereinafter referred to as GSM).

Art Unit: 2608

Regarding claim 13, Breeden discloses a call routing system that routes a call to a user at a particular base station.

Breeden fails to disclose determining if a number is in an acceptable range, and terminating the session if it is not.

On the other hand, GSM teaches the use of a forbidden carrier list which prevents the user from accessing communications (p. 446-451) if the telephone is outside of the preferred subscriber area. Furthermore, it is well known in the art to restrict usage, such as Bell Atlantic's recent prohibition of roaming in the New York/New Jersey area. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add a call restriction means in order to prevent unauthorized access to another system.

4. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Breeden as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Daly et al (hereinafter referred to as Daly) and GSM.

Regarding claims 2-4, Breeden discloses a communications system comprising authorization equipment (as a routing controller 104; 412), a base station (FCU 108) having a processor (216), and a wireline network (PSTN 106) connected to the base station. Breeden implicitly discloses that the base station calls the authorization equipment in order to acquire authorization (figure 4) or the use of subscriber names or caller identification. However, the use of ANI technologies which

Art Unit: 2608

display both the name and number of a subscriber in a public network is well known in the art. Breeden fails to disclose 1) the base station connection having an associated telephone number or 2) the authorization equipment determining if the user is outside a predetermined range.

As per 1), Daly teaches the use of base stations (21-25) which are directly connected to telephone lines and each have associated telephone numbers (Col. 3; 25-31). Daly further discloses that these base stations initiate calls in order to facilitate communications (Figure 2, Col. 8;24-30). As per 2), GSM teaches the use of a forbidden carrier list which prevents the user from accessing communications (p. 446-451) if the telephone is outside of the preferred subscriber area. Furthermore, it is well known in the art to restrict usage, such as Bell Atlantic's recent prohibition of roaming in the New York/New Jersey area. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add a call restriction means and base stations with their own telephone numbers in order to route calls more efficiently and prevent unauthorized access to a different system.

5. Claims 5-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Fujisawa.

Regarding claims 5 and 6, Fujisawa discloses a communication system with a base station (13a1) and a telephone (14a(100a)) which is capable of receiving and storing multiple caller

Art Unit: 2608

identification messages in memory at the telephone (abstract, Col. 3; 20 - Col. 4;18). Fujisawa fails to disclose the base station storing the messages for transmission. However, it is well known in the art for 'store and forward' systems to hold pages at a central transmission site until a user requests them. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add storage capabilities to a base station in order to reduce the amount of time needed to transmit the message from a stationary site to the user.

Regarding claims 7-11, Fujisawa further discloses that the user can retrieve the caller id by pressing a key on the telephone (S813). Fujisawa fails to disclose that the retrieval happens when the device comes within transmission range of the base station or when a ring signal is received. However, the caller id would be displayed when the user was within transmission range of the base station because the caller id is routed directly to the mobile user, and the id is displayed upon the call being placed to the mobile. Furthermore, the user in Fujisawa is already in conversation, so a sound is applied to the speaker (S603) to alert the user in place of a ring signal. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include such features in order to make a more user versatile system in allowing the user to retrieve caller identification at any time convenient to the user.

Art Unit: 2608

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Zabarsky et al disclose a store and forward system for messages.

Helmkamp et al disclose a wireless PBX which configures fixed stations once they are plugged into new locations and their phone numbers entered.

Teledigital's Wireless Management Environment discloses a method of call restriction.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William Trost whose telephone number is (703) 308-5318.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

William Trost March 2, 1995 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

GROUP 2600